**Annex No. 4**

EVALUATION GRID – SELECTION STAGE OF THE PARTICIPANTS

Participant: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Nr.****crt.** | **Evaluation criteria** | **Maximum score**  | **Participant’s score**  |
| **1.** | **Operational and financial capacity**  | **40** |  |
| 1.1 | Human resources  | **25** |  |
|  | Number of key experts with experience in the assumed type of activity (proven by CV) proposed for project activities (only experts with higher education).* 1 - 4 experts – 10 points
* Over 5 experts – 8 points
 | 10 |  |
| The evaluation of the experts who submitted applications:* Expert with secondary education – 5 points
* Expert with higher education – Bachelor’s degree – 10 points
* Expert with higher education – Master’s degree– 12 points
* Expert with doctoral degree – 15 points.

The score is calculated as a weighted average using the formula $\sum\_{}^{}\frac{NrExp\*PctTipExp}{NrTotalExp}$, where:* NrExp – number of experts with a certain educational degree
* PctTipExp – number of points allocated for the specific degree
* NrTotalExp – number of the members – key experts proposed for the implementation team positions
 | 15 |  |
| **1.2** | Proposed budget by partner – eligible value of the budget for the partner | **15** |  |
|  | * up to 100.000 euro – 3 points
* between 100.001 – 120.000 euro – 10 points
* between 120.001 – 125.000 euro – 15 points
* between 125.001 – 145.000 euro – 10 points
* over 145.001 euro – 3 points
 | 15 |  |
| **2.** | **Operational/professional capacity** | **25** |  |
| **2.1** | Proof of implementation of projects with non-reimbursable, transnational financing | 15 |  |
| * 2 projects – 5 points
* 3 projects – 10 points
* More than 3 projects – 15 points
 |
| **2.2** | Proof of experience of at least 3 years in the field of project activities | 10 |  |
| * Up to 4 years – 7 points
* More than 4 years – 10 points
 |
| **3** | **Partner contribution to project activities** | **35** |  |
| **3.1** | Description of how the transnational partner performs / implements the assumed project activities, including the correlation with the proposed budget* unsatisfactory – 0 points
* satisfactory – 5 points
* well – 10 points
* very well – 15 points
 | 15 |  |
| **3.2** | Description of how the transnational partner involves on secondary and horizontal FSE themes, activities for information and promotion, transversal activities  |  10 |  |
| **3.3** | Organization and deployment of activities (localization of activities, targeted results and necessary resources) |  10 |  |
|  | **TOTAL**  | **100** |  |

1. ***The qualitative assessment will be done for each expert, the maximum score being the arithmetic mean of the expert scores presented in the offer.***

Evaluation Committee:

President \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Member 1 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Member 2 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_